Per'd PCT/770 27 MAY 2005



PCT

REC'D	25	OCT	2004
WIPO			PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 02R00495/PC		FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)						
International application No. PCT/JP 03/15200		International filing date 27.11.2003	(day/month/	iyear)	Priority date (day/month/ 30.11.2002	year)		
•	mation 1L21/		nt Classification (IPC) or bo	oth national classification	and IPC			
	licant ARP I	KABL	ISHIKI KAISHA et al.					
1.	 This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. 							
2.	2. This REPORT consists of a total of 6 sheets, including this cover sheet.							
	This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).							
	These annexes consist of a total of 1 sheets.							
3.	This	repor	t contains indications rel	ating to the following it	tems:			
	1	\boxtimes	Basis of the opinion					
	П	_				i		
i	111		Non-establishment of o	pinion with regard to r	ovelty, inv	entive step ar	nd industrial applicabilit	y
	IV		Lack of unity of invention	on				
	V 🛮 Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement			l applicability;				
	VI		Certain documents cite	d				
	VII		Certain defects in the in	* *				
	VIII		Certain observations o	n the international app	lication			·
Date of submission of the demand		Date of co	mpletion of this	s report				
03.05.2004			22.10.20	004				
Nam	e and i	mailing	address of the internationa	d	Authorized	Officer		Parter.
preliminary examining authority: European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465			Mauger,	J No. +49 89 23	399-8447	The state of the s		

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No.

PCT/JP 03/15200

I.	Basis	of the	report
----	-------	--------	--------

3.

4.

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	De	escription, Pages						
	1-1	16	as o	originally filed				
	Cla	aims, Numbers						
	10	-23	as o	originally filed				
	1-6	6, 8, 9	filed	with telefax on 04.10.2004				
	Dra	awings, Sheets						
	1/3	-3/3	as o	originally filed				
2.	elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the oplication was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.							
	The	ese elements were av	vailable or furr	nished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:				
		the language of a tr	anslation furni	ished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).				
		the language of pub	lication of the	international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).				
		the language of a tr Rule 55.2 and/or 55	anslation furni .3).	ished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under				
3.	Wit inte	h regard to any nucl e rnational preliminary	e otide and/or examination v	amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:				
		contained in the inte	ernational appl	lication in written form.				
		filed together with th	ne internationa	al application in computer readable form.				
		\square furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.						
		The statement that to in the international a	the subsequer application as t	ntly furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure filed has been furnished.				
		The statement that the listing has been furn	the informatior ished.	n recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence				
4.	The	amendments have r	esulted in the	cancellation of:				
		the description,	pages:					
	\boxtimes	the claims,	Nos.:	7				
		the drawings,	sheets:					

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/JP 03/15200

5. 🗆	This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).
	(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 4 and 1

(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.)

6. Additional observations, if necessary:

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

3-6, 8-20

No: Claims

1,2,21-23

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

8-12

No: Claims

1-6,13-23

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-6,8-23

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- 1) Reference is made to the following documents:
 - D1: MAYER M ET AL: "Reactive MBE of group III nitrides: high-quality homoepitaxial GaN and ultra-violet light-emitting diodes" JOURNAL OF CRYSTAL GROWTH, NORTH-HOLLAND PUBLISHING CO. AMSTERDAM, NL, vol. 201-202, May 1999 (1999-05), pages 318-322, XP004175132 ISSN: 0022-0248
 - D2: MAYER M ET AL: "Device performance of ultra-violet emitting diodes grown by MBE" JOURNAL OF CRYSTAL GROWTH, NORTH-HOLLAND PUBLISHING, AMSTERDAM, NL, vol. 189-190, 15 June 1998 (1998-06-15), pages 782-785, XP004148622 ISSN: 0022-0248
 - D3: ABERNATHY C R ET AL: "Growth of group III nitrides by metalorganic molecular beam epitaxy" JOURNAL OF CRYSTAL GROWTH, NORTH-HOLLAND PUBLISHING CO. AMSTERDAM, NL, vol. 178, no. 1-2, 1 June 1997 (1997-06-01), pages 74-86, XP004084976 ISSN: 0022-0248
 - D4: YANG Z ET AL: "HIGH-QUALITY GAN AND AIN GROWN BY GAS-SOURCE MOLECULAR BEAM EPITAXY USING AMMONIA AS THE NITROGEN SOURCE" JOURNAL OF VACUUM SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY: PART B, AMERICAN INSTITUTE OF PHYSICS. NEW YORK, US, vol. 14, no. 3, 1 May 1996 (1996-05-01), pages 2354-2356, XP000621863 ISSN: 0734-211X
- Document D3 (see section "4. Doping") discusses the doping of Group III nitrides. The document refers in particular to GaN and states that "for p-type material, the most common gaseous precursor for introduction of Mg during growth by MOCVD or MOMBE is Cp₂Mg". This part of document D3 evidently refers mainly to MOCVD processes and suggests that the use of Cp₂Mg is associated with problems. Nevertheless the sentence cited unambiguously states that Cp₂Mg is a source of Mg dopants in MOMBE growth. This disclosure is sufficient to be novelty destroying for claims 1,2 and 21-23 (Article 33(2) PCT).
- 2.1) Document D4 (see whole document) discloses MBE grown Mg-doped p-type GaN grown using elemental Mg as the dopant source. The present application states that the present doping process is easier to control than one using elemental Mg

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY

International application No. PCT/JP 03/15200

EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

but contains no indication that Mg-doped p-type GaN grown using the present process is distinguishable from that grown using the method of document D4. Thus the subject-matter of claims 21-23 lacks novelty as compared to the disclosure of document D4.

- 2.2) The two related documents D1 (see 2. Experimental procedure, 4. Ultra-violet light emitting diodes) and D2 (see the whole document) disclose the MBE growth of ptype group-III nitrides using metallic gallium and aluminium sources, ammonia and methylCp₂Mg as the magnesium source. The growth temperature was only mentioned in D1 and was about 750°C. No post growth anneal was needed and a hole concentration of about 1017 cm-3 was obtained. The application shows that under particular growth conditions (temperature and dopant beam pressure) devices and layers can be obtained which are superior to those disclosed in D1 and D2. The products of claims 21-23 are not limited to ones obtained under these conditions. The claims encompass products made under conditions which will lead to products equivalent to those disclosed in documents D1 and D2 and thus documents D1 and D2 are novelty destroying for the subject-matter of claims 21-23
- The closest prior art for claims 1-20 is represented by documents D1 and D2. The 3) subject-matter of all of these claims differs from the disclosure of documents D1 and D2 in that a different dopant source is used. Some of the claims also differ due to the growth temperature or beam pressure requirements.
 - The dopant source specified (Cp₂Mg) although not previously used for MBE processes is clearly a well known alternative to the methylCp2Mg used in D1 and D2. The beam pressures specified are within known pressure ranges used in MBE and it is a standard procedure during MBE growth to determine appropriate beam pressures for individual components. Thus the subject-matter of claims 1-6 and 13-20 is not considered to involve an inventive step (Article 33(3) EPC).
- 3.1) The problem addressed by the use of the growth temperatures specified in claims 8-12 is the provision of doped layers with good crystalline quality in combination with a very low level of carbon contamination.
 - There is no teaching in the prior art suggesting conditions to a skilled person which will enable layers doped using Cp2Mg and having such desirable properties to be obtained. In particular the prior art provides no suggestion regarding process conditions which will allow carbon contamination to be minimised.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY International application No. PCT/JP 03/15200 EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

Thus the subject-matter of claims 8-12 is not obvious and implies an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT).